

ON g -FUNCTIONS FOR COUNTABLE STATE SUBSHIFTS

ADAM JONSSON

ABSTRACT. This note revisits the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for a subshift to have a continuous g -function. Results obtained by Krieger (IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, 48, 306–316, 2006) on finite alphabet subshifts are generalized to countable state subshifts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite or countably infinite set provided with the discrete topology, let $\mathbb{Z}_- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, and let Θ be the shift on $X = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the set of continuous g -functions on X .¹ That is, $\mathcal{G}$ is the set of all continuous $g: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the property that

$$\sum_{x' \in \Theta^{-1}(x)} g(x') = 1 \text{ for every } x = (\dots, x_{-1}, x_0) \in X. \quad (1)$$

For a subset $K \subset X$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we say that K is g -invariant or invariant for g if

$$g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in K^c \text{ such that } \Theta(x) \in K. \quad (2)$$

We consider the following problem: given a subset $K \subset X$, are there $g \in \mathcal{G}$ for which K is invariant? Gundy [2, p. 79] raises the special case of this question when $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ and K is a subshift, that is, a closed Θ -invariant subset. Krieger [5] considers the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is finite and gives a necessary and sufficient condition for subshifts of the two-sided space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. As in [5], we refer to this condition as *property G*. For a subshift of the one-sided space, property G can be stated as the requirement that there is no $x \in K$ such that $(x, a) \in \overline{\{x \in K^c: \Theta(x) \in K\}}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.² For a nonempty subset $K \subset X$, we let K_e denote the set $\{x \in K^c: \Theta(x) \in K\}$ and we refer to points of K_e as points of exit from K . The following results are presented in this note: In Section 3 we show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is finite and $K \subset X$ is a subshift, then $\overline{K_e} \cap K = \emptyset$ if and only if K is a subshift of finite type (see Section 2 for the definition). From this result we obtain an alternative proof of Krieger's [5] theorem that a subshift with a strictly positive g -function ((2) holds and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in K$) must be of finite type if $\mathcal{A}$ is finite. In Section 4 we consider the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is countably infinite. We show that property G remains to be necessary and sufficient for a subshift to be invariant for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

Date: December 30, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B10.

Key words and phrases. g -functions; subshifts; invariant sets.

I am grateful to Wolfgang Krieger for a discussion about subshift presentations.

¹The notions of g -function and g -measure have their origin in Doeblin and Fortet's [1] work on chains of infinite order. Keane [4] introduced g -functions in ergodic theory. For details and further references, see, for example, Johansson et al [3] and Stenflo [8, 9].

²Here and throughout, K^c and $\overline{K}$ denote complement and closure, respectively.

but that subshifts with strictly positive g -functions need not be of finite type. Here the condition that $\overline{K_e}$ and K do not intersect is both necessary and sufficient.

2. NOTATION

The notation in this paper follows [2]. The alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is assumed finite or countably infinite, X denotes the set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ of all sequences $x = (\dots, x_{-1}, x_0)$ of symbols from $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the collection of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathcal{W}_n \subset \mathcal{W}$, $n \geq 1$, be the words of length n . The word w is said to appear in $x \in X$ if $(x_i, \dots, x_j) = w$ for some $i \leq j \leq 0$.

The cylinders

$$C(w) := \{x \in X : x = (x', w) \text{ for some } x' \in X\}, \quad w \in \mathcal{W},$$

give a basis for the product topology (each copy of $\mathcal{A}$ is given the discrete topology) on X . We will use the fact that cylinders are clopen (that is, closed and open), that X is metrizable with

$$\rho(x, x') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = x', \\ 2^{-l(x, x')} & \text{if } x \neq x', \quad l(x, x') = \min\{|j| : x_j \neq x'_j\}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and that X is compact if $\mathcal{A}$ is finite. By a subshift of X , we mean a closed nonempty subset $K \subset X$ with $K = \Theta(K)$, where $\Theta(x)_{j:=x_{j-1}}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_-$. Subshifts are defined by forbidden words (see [6, Chapter 1.2]). For $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{W}$, we let $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the set of all $x \in X$ in which no word of $\mathcal{F}$ appears. A subshift is of finite type if it can be written $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ for some $\mathcal{F}$ containing finitely many words.

3. EXIT POINTS AND PROPERTY G

As in [5], we say that a subshift $K \subset X$ has a g -function if K is invariant for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$. That is, K has a g -function if there exists a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K_e := \{x \in K^c : \Theta(x) \in K\}$. That K has a *strict* g -function means that K is invariant for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\{x \in X : g(x) = 0\} = \overline{K_e}$. Finally, that K has a *strictly positive* g -function means that K is invariant for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in K$. Invariance of arbitrary subsets of X with respect to g -functions is defined in the same way.

Krieger [5, pp. 306-307] uses the following notation (translated from the two-sided setting) to state his necessary and sufficient condition for a finite alphabet subshift $K \subset X$ to have a g -function: for $x \in X$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and $w \in \mathcal{W}$, let

$$\Gamma_{\infty}^-(a) = \{x' \in K : (x', a) \in K\}, \quad (4)$$

$$\omega_1^+(w) = \bigcap_{x' \in \Gamma_{\infty}^-(a')} \{a' \in \mathcal{A} : (x', w, a') \in K\}, \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta_1^+(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_1^+(x_{[-n, 0]}). \quad (6)$$

Property G is the condition that

$$\Delta_1^+(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } x \in X. \quad (7)$$

In the present notation, (7) says that

$$\text{there is no } x \in X \text{ with } (x, a) \in \overline{K_e} \text{ for every } a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (8)$$

Remark 1. If $K \subset X$ is a subshift, then (8) is equivalent to that there are no $x \in K$ with $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Conditions (7) and (8) have formulations for general subsets of X with $\mathcal{A}$ finite or countably infinite. The proof of the following result shows that they are easily translated into each other.

Proposition 1. For a subset $K \subset X$, (7) is satisfied if and only if (8) is satisfied.

Proof. For $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$ if and only if $a \notin \Delta_1^+(x)$. (If $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$, then there is for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ some $x' \in K$ with $x'_{[-n,0]} = x_{[-n,0]}$ and $(x', a) \in K^c$. This means that $a \notin \omega_1^+(x_{[-n,0]})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $a \notin \Delta_1^+(x)$. Reversing the argument gives that $a \notin \Delta_1^+(x)$ implies $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$.) So $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\Delta_1^+(x) = \emptyset$. Thus (7) is equivalent to that there is no $x \in X$ such that $(x, a) \in \overline{K_e}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Subshifts of finite type have property G . For a subshift of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ with property G that is not of finite type, let K be the set of all $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ such that between any two 1s there is an even number of 0s (cf. [6, p. 6]). If $(x, a) \in K_e$, then $a = 1$. So there are no $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ for which $(x, 0)$ and $(x, 1)$ are both in $\overline{K_e}$.

Gundy [2] studies Markov processes $\mathbf{x}_t, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, on the binary sequence space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$. Given $\mathbf{x}_t$, the process moves from $\mathbf{x}_t$ to $(\mathbf{x}_t, 0)$ or $(\mathbf{x}_t, 1)$, where the transition probabilities are $g((\mathbf{x}_t, 0))$ and $g((\mathbf{x}_t, 1))$, respectively. For a subshift $K \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$, points of K_e might be called points of exit from K : if the process leaves K at time $t \geq 1$, then we have $\mathbf{x}_t \in K^c$ and $\Theta(\mathbf{x}_t) \in K$. Paths from initial points in K remain in K with probability one if $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K_e$.³

If $K \subset X$ is g -invariant, then $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{K_e}$. So for K to have a strictly positive g -function, we must have $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$.

Proposition 2. For $\mathcal{A}$ finite, the following are equivalent for a subshift $K \subset X$: (i) K is of finite type. (ii) K_e is closed. (iii) $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$.

Remark 2. As the proof shows, (i) implies (ii) and (iii) also when $\mathcal{A}$ is infinite.

Proof. Write $K = X_{\mathcal{F}}$ for some collection of words $\mathcal{F}$. Assume without loss of generality that no word in $\mathcal{F}$ contains another word of $\mathcal{F}$ as a subword, i.e., if $w = (w_{-n}, \dots, w_0) \in \mathcal{F}$, then $(w_{-n+1}, \dots, w_0) \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $(w_{-n}, \dots, w_{-1}) \notin \mathcal{F}$.

(i) implies (ii): We can write

$$K_e = \Theta^{-1}(K) \cap \left(\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{F}} C(w) \right). \quad (9)$$

Here $\Theta^{-1}(K)$ is closed since K is closed and Θ is continuous. Since $C(w)$ is closed for every $w \in \mathcal{F}$, $\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{F}} C(w)$ is closed if $\mathcal{F}$ is finite. From this and (9) it follows that K_e is closed if $\mathcal{F}$ is finite.

(ii) implies (iii) since $K_e \subset K^c$ by definition.

(iii) implies (i): Assume that $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$ and suppose for contradiction that $\mathcal{F}$ is infinite. For $i > 1$, choose a word $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{n_i}) \in \mathcal{F}$ of length $n_i \geq i$. By our assumption on $\mathcal{F}$, $(w_2, \dots, w_{n_i})$ and $(w_1, \dots, w_{n_i-1})$ are both admissible (i.e., they appear in points of K). Take $x(i) \in K, i > 1$, such that $(w_1, \dots, w_{n_i-1})$ is the initial

³Equivalently, $K \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ is invariant if $g(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K$ with $(\dots, x_{-1}, 1 - x_0) \in K^c$. In [2], such points are called *barrier points* of K . If $\mathcal{A}$ has more than two symbols however, then then we may have $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K_e$ and $g(x) < 1$ for every $x \in X$.

word in $x(i)$ of length $n_i - 1$. Then the initial word in $x'(i) := (x(i), w_{n_i})$ of length $n_i - 1$ is admissible, but the initial word of length n_i is forbidden. That is, $x'(i) \in K_e$ and $\rho(x'(i), K) \leq 2^{-n_i+1}$. Since X is compact, $x'(i)$ has a convergent subsequence $x'(i_k), k \geq 1$, and since $\rho(x'(i_k), K) \rightarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x'(i_k) \in K \cap \overline{K_e}$. $\square$

4. STRICT g -FUNCTIONS AND STRICTLY POSITIVE g -FUNCTIONS

We begin by showing that property G remains to be necessary and sufficient for a subset $K \subset X$ to have a g -function if $\mathcal{A}$ is allowed to be countably infinite.

Theorem 1. *For $\mathcal{A}$ finite or countably infinite, the following are equivalent for a subset $K \subset X$: (i) K has property G . (ii) K has a g -function. (iii) K has a strict g -function.*

The finite alphabet part of this result is due to Krieger [5]. Here is a slight modification of the construction in [5, p. 310]: for $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, denote

$$x^{*,a} = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, a), \quad (10)$$

$$n(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}: C(x_{[-n,0]}) \subset (\overline{K_e})^c\} & \text{if } x \in (\overline{K_e})^c, \\ \infty & \text{if } x \in \overline{K_e}, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and let (here $1/\infty := 0$)

$$g(x) = \frac{1/n(x)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} 1/n(x^{*,j})}. \quad (12)$$

Property G assures that for every $x \in X$, $n(x^{*,a})$ is finite for at least one $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus g is well defined on all of X . That $\mathcal{A}$ is finite makes $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} 1/n(x^{*,j})$ finite for every $x \in X$, which means that $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} g(x^{*,a}) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Since $g(x) = 0$ if $x \in K_e$, K is g -invariant.

The function (12) is still well defined if $\mathcal{A}$ is infinite. But it will not generally be the case that (1) holds and there is also the issue of continuity. The construction below defines $g(x)$ in terms of $\rho(x, K_e)$.⁴ The g -function is essentially a weighted sum of translates of $\rho(\cdot, K_e)$, the partial sums of which converge uniformly.

Proof of Theorem 1. We only show that (i) implies (iii), the other implications being obvious.

Fix a sequence $\lambda_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$, of weights with $\lambda_a > 0$ and $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_j = 1$. For $m > 1$, let $\mathcal{E}_m$ be the collection of $w \in \mathcal{W}_m$ for which $C(w)$ contains a point of $\overline{K_e}$. Given $w = (w_{-m+1}, \dots, w_{-1}, w_0) \in \mathcal{W}_m$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, denote

$$w^{*,a} = (w_{-m+1}, \dots, w_{-1}, a). \quad (13)$$

That K has property G means that we can fix m such that if $w \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then $w^{*,b} \notin \mathcal{E}_m$ for some $b = b(w) \in \mathcal{A}$. Given $w \in \mathcal{E}_m$ and $b = b(w) \in \mathcal{A}$, define g on

$$U(w) := \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} C(w^{*,a})$$

by setting

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{x_0} \rho(x, K_e) & \text{if } x_0 \neq b, \text{ i.e., if } x \in \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b\}} C(w^{*,a}), \\ 1 - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b\}} \lambda_a \rho(x^{*,a}, K_e) & \text{if } x_0 = b, \text{ i.e., if } x \in C(w^{*,b}). \end{cases}$$

⁴This technique is used in [2] to construct g -functions for a class of shifts of finite type.

If $w, w' \in \mathcal{E}_m$, then we either have $U(w) = U(w')$ or $U(w) \cap U(w') = \emptyset$. Thus

$$U_{\mathcal{E}_m} := \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{E}_m} U(w) \quad (14)$$

is a disjoint union of sets $U(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{E}_m$, which means that g is well defined on $U_{\mathcal{E}_m}$. If x is in $(U_{\mathcal{E}_m})^c = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}_m \setminus \mathcal{E}_m} U(w)$, then so is $x^{*,a}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. So if we define $g(x) = \lambda_{x_0}$ for $x \in (U_{\mathcal{E}_m})^c$, then we have $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} g(x^{*,a}) = 1$ for all $x \in X$.

It remains to show: (I) g is continuous, (II) $g \geq 0$, (III) $\{x \in X : g(x) = 0\} = \overline{K_e}$.
 I: That g is continuous on $C(w)$ for each w in (14) follows from that $\rho(x, K_e)$ is continuous and that the partial sums of $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b\}} \lambda_a \rho(x^{*,a}, K_e)$ converge uniformly. Since $C(w)$ is open for each $w \in \mathcal{E}_m$, g is continuous on $U(w)$. Since each $U(w)$ is open, g is continuous on $U_{\mathcal{E}_m}$. Since $(U_{\mathcal{E}_m})^c$ is open, g is continuous on X .

II: That $g \geq 0$ follows from that $\rho \geq 0$, $\lambda_a \geq 0$, and $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_a = 1$.

III: If $x \in \overline{K_e}$, then $x \in C(w)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{E}_m$. Since $C(w^{*,b}) \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$, we must have $x \in \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b\}} C(w^{*,a})$, so that $g(x) = \lambda_{x_0} \rho(x, K_e) = 0$. Conversely, if $g(x) = 0$, then it cannot be the case that $g(x) = 1 - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b\}} \lambda_a \rho(x^{*,a}, K_e)$, because $\lambda_b > 0$ and $\rho \leq 1$. Thus $g(x) = \lambda_{x_0} \rho(x, K_e)$. Since $\lambda_{x_0} > 0$, we must have $\rho(x, K_e) = 0$ and therefore $x \in \overline{K_e}$. $\square$

From Theorem 1 we see that a subshift $K \subset X$ with property G has a strictly positive g -function if $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$. The latter condition implies property G and is therefore both necessary and sufficient:

Proposition 3. *For $\mathcal{A}$ finite or countably infinite, the following are equivalent for a subshift $K \subset X$: (i) K has a strictly positive g -function. (ii) $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$. (iii) K_e is closed.*

Proof. (i) implies (ii) since $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{K_e}$ if K is g -invariant.

(ii) implies (iii): Suppose that K_e is not closed. We show that the boundary of K_e lies in K . Take $x \in (K_e)^c$ on the boundary of K_e and a sequence x^n in K_e with $x^n \rightarrow x$. That x^n is in K_e means that $\Theta(x^n) \in K$. Since Θ is continuous and K is closed, $\Theta(x^n) \rightarrow \Theta(x) \in K$. Because x was selected from the complement of K_e , we must have $x \in K$.

(iii) implies (i): Assume that K_e is closed. Property G then says that there are no $x \in X$ with $(x, a) \in K_e$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $(x, a) \notin K_e$ if $x \in K^c$, this amounts to the condition that there are no $x \in K$ with $(x, a) \in K_e$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, which is another way of saying that $K \subset \Theta(K)$. Since $K = \Theta(K)$, we can conclude that K has property G . By Theorem 1 there exists a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\{x \in X : g(x) = 0\} = \overline{K_e}$. Because K_e is closed, $\{x \in X : g(x) = 0\} = K_e \subset K$. $\square$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is countably infinite, then it is still true that $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$ if K is a subshift of finite type (see Remark 2). To see that the reverse implication need not hold, let K be defined by proscribing all but one of the symbols of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$, but K is not of finite type. More generally, if $\mathcal{F}$ is infinite but the length of every $w \in \mathcal{F}$ is less than M for some $M < \infty$, then the distance between $K = X_{\mathcal{F}}$ and K_e is at least 2^{-M} . (Ott et al [7] refer to such subshifts as M -step shifts.) If no such M exists there is a sequence x^n in K_e with $\rho(x^n, K) \rightarrow 0$, but since X is not compact, the sequence may not have a limit point. For example, if no two words of $\mathcal{F}$ have a symbol in common, then $K \cap \overline{K_e} = \emptyset$ holds even if $\mathcal{F}$ contains arbitrarily long words.

REFERENCES

- [1] Doeblin, W. and Fortet, R.: Sur des chaînes à liaisons complètes. Bull. Soc. Math. France. 65, (1937), 132–148.
- [2] Gundy, R.F.: Probability, ergodic theory, and low-pass filters. In Topics in harmonic analysis and ergodic theory, volume 444 of Contemp. Math., (2007), 53–87.
- [3] Johansson, A. and Öberg, A. and Pollicott, M.: Countable state shifts and uniqueness of g -measures. Amer. J. Math. 129, (2007), 1501–1509.
- [4] Keane, M.: Strongly mixing g -measures. Invent. Math. 16, (1972), 309–324.
- [5] Krieger, W.: On g -functions for subshifts. IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series 48, (2006), 306–316. arXiv: math/0608259
- [6] Lind, D. and Marcus, B.: An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. xvi+495 pp.
- [7] Ott, William and Tomforde, Mark and Willis, Paulette N.: One-sided shift spaces over infinite alphabets. New York Journal of Mathematics, NYJM Monographs vol 5, 2014, 54 pages.
- [8] Stenflo, Ö.: Uniqueness of invariant measures for place-dependent random iterations of functions. In Fractals in multimedia (Minneapolis, MN, 2001). volume 132 of IMA Vol. Math. Appl. (2002), 13–32. Springer, New York.
- [9] Stenflo, Ö.: Uniqueness in g -measures. Nonlinearity. 16, (2003), 403–410.

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, LULEÅ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 97187 LULEÅ, SWEDEN

E-mail address: adam.jonsson@ltu.se